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ABSTRACT

Background: Immediate loading of dental implants is increasingly gaining recognition as a viable option for both patient and clinician.

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the results of 55 patients in a clinical investigation of immediate functional loading of Bränemark System implants (Nobel Biocare USA, Yorba Linda, CA) in edentulous maxillae. Its further purpose is to suggest a reliable and evidence-based protocol for immediate implant loading of full-arch prostheses in the maxilla.

Materials and Methods: A total of 552 Bränemark System implants were placed in immediate extraction or healed sites; a mean number of 10 implants were placed per patient. The healthy subjects in need of full-arch maxillary implant reconstruction were treated between December 1999 and February 2004; 522 of the 552 implants were immediately loaded with screw-retained all-acrylic fixed prostheses at the time of surgery. Approximately 4 to 6 months later, the 30 submerged implants were uncovered, and a definitive metal-reinforced prosthesis was delivered to each patient.

Results: The immediately loaded implant cumulative survival rate was 99.0% for these patients. The prosthesis survival rate was 100%.

Conclusions: The results of this prospective study of full-arch maxillary immediate loading suggests that this protocol is suitable for most patients in need of full maxillary implant reconstruction. The protocol, as shown in this study, is highly successful in providing a lasting state of osseointegration as the foundation for long-term stability of screw-retained fixed prostheses.
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The conventional two-stage implant protocol with delayed loading has achieved excellent long-term results. More recently many researchers have demonstrated comparable results for integration with implants using a more condensed one-stage immediate loading protocol. In many clinical situations the use of an immediate loading protocol rather than the traditional two-stage protocol benefits the patient by reducing anxiety as well as functional and aesthetic inconvenience. With the two-stage or delayed loading protocol, the patient had to abide treatment that in some instances reached 2 years in duration. The patient also had to be willing to function for extended periods with either no teeth or with a removable prosthesis during the postsurgical healing period. Moreover since frequent follow-up visits over the course of the treatment period were required, most patients had to rely on whatever level of dental expertise was available close to their home. The use of an immediate loading protocol decreases the duration of treatment and the...
number of visits necessary to complete it, eliminates
the discomfort that comes from wearing a removable
prosthesis over the surgical site, and yields the patient
the opportunity to be under the care of a prosthodontic
team even at remote distances.\textsuperscript{14}

Most published data on immediate loading are for
implants placed in the mandible.\textsuperscript{2–13} The density and
mechanical properties of the bone are among the
reasons why so little documentation for immediate
loading in the maxillary arch has been reported. How-
ever, the limited reported studies do show the use of
immediate loading protocols in the maxilla for single-
tooth,\textsuperscript{15,16} partially edentulous,\textsuperscript{16,17} and fully eden-
tulous applications.\textsuperscript{4,18–22} When the appropriate biologic
and surgical conditions present themselves, an imme-
diate loading protocol can be highly advantageous in all
areas of the jaw.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\subsection*{Patients}

Fifty-five healthy patients (31 females, 24 males) with a
mean age of 57.3 years (range, 25–86 years) and who
were in need of full-arch maxillary implant recon-
struction were treated between December 1999 and
February 2004. Inclusion criteria were based on the
patients’ current stable medical condition and their
ability to undergo dental implant surgery. Exclusion
was limited to patients with metabolic bone disease or
an unstable systemic condition, such as uncontrolled
diabetes, untreated hypothyroidism, or a malignancy
in midtreatment. All patients were treated in a private
practice setting.

\subsection*{Surgical Procedure}

All teeth that had advanced periodontal disease, un-
treatable periapical pathosis, advanced mobility, or
biomechanical instability rendering them unusable
in prosthetic reconstruction were extracted. All 552
Brånemark System\textsuperscript{®} implants (Nobel Biocare USA,
Yorba Linda, CA, USA) used in this study were sur-
gically placed in healed bone or fresh extraction sites by a
staff prosthodontist. An average of 10 implants (range, 7
to 14 implants) were placed in each maxilla. For 522
of these implants, abutments were connected immedi-
ately following implant insertion prior to flap closure
(Figure 1), and a Teeth In A Day\textsuperscript{TM} prosthesis (Figure 2)
was made as previously described in the literature,\textsuperscript{14,23}
thereby immediately loading each implant. The remain-
ing 30 implants were submerged for a healing period
of approximately 4 to 6 months at the clinician’s dis-
cretion, largely because of poor primary stability and/or
inferior bone quality at the implant site.

The majority (486) of the implants used in this
study had oxidized titanium surfaces (TiUnite\textsuperscript{™}, Nobel
Biocare USA); the 4 × 15 mm Brånemark System Mk
IV TiUnite regular-platform implant was the most
frequently used implant. Nineteen regular threaded
machined-surfaced Brånemark implants and 19 Mk
IV Ebon (Nobel Biocare AB, Göteborg, Sweden)
machined-surfaced implants were implanted; how-
ever, these implant types were mainly used prior to
the inception of the TiUnite implant. Twenty-eight
machined-surfaced zygomatic implants were placed
(Table 1). All 55 patients received a prosthesis that
spanned from second molar to second molar. One-
hundred two implants were placed in the pterygo-
maxillary region (Figure 3), meaning that 51 of the
55 patients had implants placed distal to the prosthesis,
eliminating any cantilevers.\textsuperscript{24}

\subsection*{Prosthetic Procedure}

After abutment connection of the immediately loaded
implants, a screw-retained all-acrylic fixed prosthesis
was placed. All patients were instructed to maintain a
soft diet for the first 12 weeks or until the final porcelain-
fused-to-gold prosthesis was delivered (Figure 4). The
screw-retained all-acrylic fixed prosthesis was not removed during the initial healing period until such time as master impressions were made for the construction of the definitive prosthesis. Prior to the making of master impressions, all implants were manually and visually evaluated for stability. All mobile implants were removed.

RESULTS

Of the 552 implants, 544 osseointegrated, for a cumulative survival rate of 98.6% (Table 2). The immediately loaded implant population (522) has a survival rate of 99.0% while the 30 implants placed with the conventional two-stage approach have a survival rate of 90.0%. Two zygomatic implants failed (both due to infection at the implant site), for a 92.9% survival rate.

Three hundred fifteen implants were placed in females; 4 failures occurred in this group (3 implants that had been immediately loaded and 1 implant that was submerged for a healing period), for a 98.8% survival rate. Two of these failed implants were zygomatic implants that became infected; the other two failures were implants placed in soft bone in the posterior regions of the maxilla.

Of the 237 implants placed in males, 4 implants failed to osseointegrate, accounting for a survival rate of 98.2%. Two of the implants that failed had been immediately loaded. Both implants were in the same patient, who admitted to being a heavy smoker (1 pack per day). The other two implants had been placed in extremely soft bone in the pterygomaxillary region, were submerged, and never osseointegrated. All 55 patients experienced a prosthesis survival rate of 100% for an average of 2.78 years (range, 6 months to 4 years).

DISCUSSION

In most studies of immediate loading with dental implants, mandibular arches were treated and maxillary arches were avoided, particularly in the posterior regions, based on historic clinical observations. More recently some reports have indicated success in treating the maxilla with immediate loading protocols, including some case reports from the prosthodontist authors of this article.

No sinus elevation or sinus grafting was performed for the patients in this study because the literature indicates a higher failure rate for implants placed in sinus-grafted receptor sites. When minimal bone was available beneath the sinus, patients were treated with zygomatic implants, which provided excellent initial stability. Two patients in this study had such minimal bone in the anterior maxilla, requiring shorter implants, that four zygomatic implants were used to provide maximal prosthesis support. Additionally most patients were also treated with pterygomaxillary implants to supplement posterior load distribution and full cross-arch stabilization.

The findings in this study are immediate and functional loading. Once prosthetic adjustments were made, these patients received their immediately loaded prostheses within 1 hour after implant placement. In many previously reported cases dealing with immediate
TABLE 1 Frequency Distribution of Brånemark Implants in the Maxilla

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size*</th>
<th>Placed</th>
<th>Immediately Loaded</th>
<th>Failures</th>
<th>Immediate Loading Failures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 13 Regular</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 20 Regular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 13 Regular</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 15 Regular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 10 Regular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 12 Regular</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 8.5 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 10 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 13 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 15 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 x 18 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 10 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 13 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 15 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 18 Mk III TiU RP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 8.5 Mk IV TiU RP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 10 Mk IV TiU RP</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 13 Mk IV TiU RP</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 15 Mk IV TiU RP</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 18 Mk IV TiU RP</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Zygomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Zygomatic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Zygomatic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.5 Zygomatic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Zygomatic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.5 Zygomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Zygomatic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 10 Mk IV Ebon RP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 15 Mk IV Ebon RP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 x 18 Mk IV Ebon RP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RP = regular platform; TiU = TiUnite.

*In millimeters. Numbers given for zygomatic implants represent length; all diameters are 4 mm.

loading in the maxilla, the implants were loaded early or were short-span prostheses.

Salama and colleagues reported a case in which six implants were placed in the maxilla while applying delayed loading to three of the implants. The loading of the immediately loaded implants occurred at 1 week after placement. Nikellis and colleagues reported an immediate loading study of 85 implants in 14 patients; loading actually occurred 72 hours after implant placement. Olsson and colleagues treated 10 patients with 61 implants that were loaded between 1 and 9 days after placement; these patients received a shorter-span prosthesis from premolar to premolar. Fischer and Stenberg reported on 95 implants loaded 9 to 18 days after placement with a 100% survival rate. One implant in their study failed prior to the "early" loading.

A study that used submerged implants in conjunction with immediately loaded implants was reported by Tarnow and colleagues. They treated four patients with 43 implants, loading 33 of the 43 implants on the day of placement. Horiuchi and colleagues performed a similar study with five patients who altogether underwent immediate loading of 44 implants in the maxilla on the day of surgery. Some implants were submerged
in conjunction with the immediately loaded implants, mainly owing to the poor condition of the implant sites. Kosinski and Skowronski documented a single patient's treatment, reporting full maxillary reconstruction with four immediately loaded implants and six submerged implants. The six nonloaded implants were intended to provide a safety margin for success, following a similar protocol used in the initial prospective study by some of the authors of this report. Kinsel and Lamb treated 14 patients with an average of 7 implants per maxillary arch. At least four of the implants were immediately loaded. Some of these arches had short-span prostheses.

In summary, all of the studies discussed above showed promising results for the immediate and early loading of implants placed in fully edentulous maxillae; a combined total of 429 implants and only 9 failures yielded a survival rate of 97.9%. Only one patient had a prosthesis failure.

In our study, a total of 522 immediately loaded implants were placed in the maxilla with an average of 10 implants per arch and a survival rate of 99.0%. All patients in this study received a full-arch (second molar to second molar) functional screw-retained fixed prosthesis. Critical radiographic evaluation of bone levels were not performed because panoramic radiography does not provide enough detail for such measurements. Patients were randomly evaluated radiographically simply to confirm that marginal bone levels were consistent with previous clinical experience. It is well recognized that bone achieves a stable equilibrium between the first and second thread on the original machined Brånemark implant. No unanticipated or biomechanically significant bone changes were noted in any of the patients in this study where the majority of the implants were TiUnite implants.

Schnitman and colleagues reported that factors related to the survival of immediately loaded implants include high primary stability, the percentage of implants in contact with cortical bone, the density of cortical bone, and the reduction of micromotion during the healing period. Brunski theorized that 100 μm of micromotion may be the critical threshold above which healing would undergo fibrous repair rather than the desired osseous regeneration, particularly if the micromotion occurs soon after implantation. It is thus believed that the results in this study are so successful because a large number of implants (an average of 10) are spread out throughout the entire arch, which creates a stiff prosthesis, results in little flexure of the material, and controls the forces applied to the bone-implant interface, which appear not to surpass the micromotion threshold introduced by
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>No. of Implants</th>
<th>No. of Failed Implants</th>
<th>Survival Rate (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative Survival Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this study of full-arch maxillary immediate loading in 55 patients suggest that this protocol is suitable for many patients who would benefit from maxillary implant reconstruction. The 99% implant survival rate and 100% prosthesis survival rate suggest that when the described immediate loading protocol is precisely followed—spreading an average of 10 implants throughout the entire arch and controlling the forces applied to the bone-implant interface by minimizing the flexure of the prosthesis—this protocol is successful in providing a lasting state of osseointegration as the foundation for long-term stability of screw-retained fixed prostheses.
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