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ABSTRACT

Patients with advanced atrophy of the maxilla benefit greatly from the use of dental implants. In the past, protocols recommended highly invasive bone grafting, which required months of maturation before implant placement. Over the past decade, zygoma implants have been used as an alternative procedure. While computer-guided surgery provides a great benefit, its use for complete placement of zygoma implants is not yet predictable. The following protocol provides a way to facilitate the placement of zygoma implants into the best position surgically and prosthetically using partially computer-guided surgery.

Extreme jaw atrophy poses a multitude of challenges prosthetically. Wearing removable dentures is a challenge for patients due to the lack of alveolar ridge support. Patients with advanced atrophy of the maxilla benefit greatly from the use of dental implants to provide stabilization of the prosthesis and better reduce painful soft-tissue irritation and embarrassing denture movements.

In patients with extreme atrophy of the maxillary arch, procedures involving extensive and highly invasive bone grafting to provide more bone volume for implant placement have been recommended over the years. Today sinus augmentation procedures are commonly used to provide bone under the floor of the maxillary sinus bilaterally to facilitate implant placement. These procedures often require months of bone graft maturation before implants can be placed. Bone grafts also have potential for infection and failure. Additionally, there is potential for donor site morbidity if autogenous bone is harvested from the iliac crest or other areas of the body.

Zygoma implants have been used for more than a decade as an alternative to sinus augmentation procedures. While computer-guided surgery provides a great benefit in implant placement, the use of a surgical template for complete placement of zygoma implants is not yet predictable or safe. The challenge of placing zygoma implants through a surgical template is related to the 45-degree angled head that not only makes the depth positioning of the implant critical, but also the timing pitch on the screw threads. There are also significant risks associated with drilling and placing an implant of that length in such close proximity to the orbit.

An open-flap technique and freehand placement is essential to providing safe and effective placement of zygoma implants. The following patient treatment approach demonstrates a way to facilitate the placement of zygoma implants into the best position surgically and prosthetically by partially guiding the zygoma implants.
CASE REPORT

A 54-year old woman, who had been edentulous and wearing dentures for a total of 21 years (Figure 1 through Figure 3), presented with an interest in replacing her removable dentures with a fixed implant-supported reconstruction for both functional and psychological reasons. Her existing dentures, which were 7 years old, were deemed to be adequate from both an esthetic and functional perspective. She claimed to be in good health and a non-smoker, who had stopped smoking 6 years previously. The patient had a history of alcohol abuse, mitral-valve prolapse, some sinus trouble, headaches, and was hypothyroid. She was taking levothyroxine for her thyroid, amitriptyline for headaches, alprazolam when needed, and vitamin D daily.

Ten radiopaque markers (gutta percha, Hygienic, Coltène/Whaledent Group, www.coltene.com) were placed in the maxillary complete denture to prepare the denture for a specific guided-surgery protocol (NobelClinician™ Software, Nobel Biocare USA, LLC, www.nobelbiocare.com). This protocol uses a dual cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scan procedure. The first CBCT scan (i-CAT®, Imaging Sciences International Inc., www.imagingsciences.com) was taken with the patient’s dentures in place, and a second CBCT scan was taken of the maxillary complete denture alone. The two scans were exported in Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format and loaded into the guided-surgery software, where a 3-dimensional (3-D) virtual working model of the patient was generated (Figure 4 through Figure 7) to enable virtual implant planning to be performed. Because the patient’s denture contained the approved esthetic arrangement of the teeth, the implants could be placed virtually in the most prosthetically favorable position.

A copy of the first CBCT scan was sent to an oral maxillofacial radiologist, and a comprehensive radiology report was generated. The findings were as follows: There was pneumatization of the great wing and pterygoid plates of the left sphenoid sinus, and severe atrophy was noted in both the maxillary and mandibular alveolar processes.

The finalized virtual implant plan (Figure 8 through Figure 12) consisted of two 10-mm implants and one 13-mm implant in the maxillary anterior region, which engaged the cortical bone at the inferior border of the nasal cavity. The plan called for two 18-mm implants to be placed in the pterygomaxillary region at an approximately 45-degree angle to the occlusal plane. These pterygomaxillary implants would provide posterior support for the restoration and eliminate a posterior cantilever. In order to shorten the span between the three anterior and two posterior implants, three zygoma implants were planned on the presurgical computer model to transect through the sinus cavity and engage the zygoma bone. All implants in the virtual planning were external-connection regular-platform implants (Brånemark System, Nobel Biocare).
TECHNIQUE

The inability to precisely deliver zygoma implants through a surgical template in a clinical trial has delayed the commercial release of hardware for guided zygoma implants. Therefore, while a surgical template could be fabricated for the five standard-length implants, it could not be fabricated for zygoma implants. In an effort to provide some benefit from the surgical-planning process and maximize the prosthetically driven nature of this protocol, the following technique was performed.

Once the zygoma implants were positioned virtually, the sizes of each zygoma implant were recorded and screenshots of the planning were taken. The virtual zygoma implants were then switched to standard-length implants in the exact same axis (Figure 13). The appropriate surgical template sleeve accompanied the standard-length implants, which could be incorporated into the surgical template. While these standard Bränemark sleeves would not permit actual zygomatic implant placement, they would enable the surgeon to create a starting point for the access of the zygoma position into the alveolar bone. This provided the ability to put the coronal aspect of the zygoma implant in the best prosthetic position, which is a tremendous advantage for laboratory construction of the final prosthesis. It also gives the surgeon an opportunity to study the 3-D anatomy of the patient prior to the surgery.

The standard-length implants were installed following the normal guided-surgery protocol.25-30 The pterygomaxillary implant on the patient's left side had much higher primary stability than anticipated from the virtual planning; therefore, only one of the two zygoma implants was installed on that side. Then, using a 3-mm twist drill through the surgical template (Figure 14), the zygomatic implant entry through the alveolus into the sinus was made. The surgical template was then removed. A crestal-palatal incision was then made connecting the implants in the pterygomaxillary region and establishing the access points for the zygoma implants. A vertical releasing incision was made in the canine region. With the full flap reflected, Caldwell-Luc type sinus entry was made to then completely visualize the path of drilling for the zygoma implant. The implant was then placed freehand through the entry position marked by the template through the sinus and through the lateral wall of the zygoma bone. While the zygoma implant length used surgically via this partially guided approach

Fig 8 through Fig 12. Screen captures of the completed virtual implant planning: frontal view (Fig. 8); left lateral view (Fig 9); right lateral view (Fig 10); occlusal view (Fig 11); occlusal view of implants only (Fig 12), Fig 13. Screen capture of the implant planning that was used to generate the surgical template.

“In this patient example, implants were placed both on the left and right side in the zygomatic area to provide additional support for the full, fixed, implant-supported restoration.”
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may not be exactly the same length as the zygoma implant virtually planned, the advantage of knowing the ideal coronal position reduced the complexity of the implant placement and optimized the prosthetic orientation of the implant.

In this patient example, implants were placed both on the left and right side in the zygomatic area to provide additional support for the full, fixed implant-supported restoration. While the five regular implants allowed for laboratory fabrication of a screw-retained, implant-supported, all-acrylic resin provisional prosthesis prior to surgery (Figure 15), the zygoma implants needed to be connected to the prefabricated provisional prosthesis using the Teeth In A Day® protocol (Pi Dental Center, www.pidentalcenter.com) (Figure 16).\textsuperscript{27,28} When the prosthetic cylinders for the zygoma implants were rigidly connected, the entire prosthesis was removed from the patient, and the area of connection was contoured and highly polished. The prosthesis was then re-delivered to the patient at the same surgical session (Figure 17 through Figure 20). This patient will function with the all-acrylic resin provisional screw-retained prosthesis for approximately 3 months until the time of final impression. At that time, the final prosthesis construction will commence and the patient will present for final delivery a few weeks later.

\textbf{Fig 14.} Intraoral view of a 3-mm twist drill entering the surgical template in the area of the planned entry point for the zygoma implant. \textbf{Fig 15.} Occlusal view of the prefabricated all-acrylic resin provisional prosthesis connected to the standard-length implants. \textbf{Fig 16.} A temporary coping or impression coping can be used with a long guide pin to pick up the position of the abutment placed on the zygoma implant. \textbf{Fig 17.} The all-acrylic provisional prosthesis was delivered to the patient following soft-tissue closure. The patient will function with this prosthesis for approximately 4 to 5 months. \textbf{Fig 18 through Fig 20.} Post-treatment radiographs illustrating the provisional implant-supported prostheses: panoramic view (Fig 18); anteroposterior cephalometric view (Fig 19); lateral cephalometric view (Fig 20).
CONCLUSION

The advantage of the partial guided zygoma technique is that it allows for a faster, safer, and more efficient surgical and prosthetic procedure.
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